

Visual overview: Multivariate linear model, $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X} \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{U}$ What is new here?

- 2 vars: HE plot— data ellipses of H (fitted) and E (residual) SSP matrices
- p vars: HE plot matrix (all pairs)
- p vars: Reduced-rank display— show max. **H** wrt. **E** \mapsto Canonical HE plot



Visual overview: Recent extensions

Extending univariate methods to MLMs:

- Robust estimation for MLMs
- Influence measures and diagnostic plots for MLMs
- Visualizing canonical correlation analysis



Data Ellipses: Galton's data



Galton's data on Parent & Child height: 40%, 68% and 95% data ellipses

The Data Ellipse: Details

Visual summary for bivariate relations

- Shows: means, standard deviations, correlation, regression line(s)
- **Defined**: set of points whose squared Mahalanobis distance $< c^2$,

$$D^2(\mathbf{y}) \equiv (\mathbf{y} - \bar{\mathbf{y}})^{ op} \, \mathbf{S}^{-1} \, (\mathbf{y} - \bar{\mathbf{y}}) \leq c^2$$

- $\mathbf{S} =$ sample variance-covariance matrix
- **Radius**: when y is \approx bivariate normal, $D^2(y)$ has a large-sample χ^2_2 distribution with 2 degrees of freedom.
 - $c^2 = \chi_2^2(0.40) \approx 1$: 1 std. dev univariate ellipse- 1D shadows: $\bar{y} \pm 1s$ $c^2 = \chi_2^2(0.68) = 2.28$: 1 std. dev bivariate ellipse $c^2 = \chi_2^2(0.95) \approx 6$: 95% data ellipse, 1D shadows: Scheffé intervals
- **Construction**: Transform the unit circle, $\mathcal{U} = (\sin \theta, \cos \theta)$,

$$\mathcal{E}_c = \mathbf{\bar{y}} + c \mathbf{S}^{1/2} \mathcal{U}$$

 $\mathbf{S}^{1/2} = \text{any "square root" of } \mathbf{S}$ (e.g., Cholesky)

- Robustify: Use robust estimate of S, e.g., MVE (mimimum volume ellipsoid)
- p variables: Extends naturally to p-dimensional ellipsoids

The univariate linear model

- Model: $\mathbf{y}_{n \times 1} = \mathbf{X}_{n \times q} \beta_{q \times 1} + \epsilon_{n \times 1}$, with $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_n)$
- LS estimates: $\hat{\beta} = (\mathbf{X}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{y}$
- General Linear Test: H₀: C_{h×q} β_{q×1} = 0, where C = matrix of constants; rows specify h linear combinations or contrasts of parameters.
- e.g., Test of H_0 : $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0$ in model $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1i} + \beta_2 x_{2i} + \epsilon_i$

$$\mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \left(\begin{array}{c} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right)$$

• All \rightarrow F-test: How big is SS_H relative to SS_E ?

$$F = rac{SS_H/\mathrm{df}_h}{SS_E/\mathrm{df}_e} = rac{MS_H}{MS_E} \longrightarrow (MS_H - F \ MS_E) = 0$$

The multivariate linear model

- Model: $\mathbf{Y}_{n \times p} = \mathbf{X}_{n \times q} \mathbf{B}_{q \times p} + \mathbf{U}$, for *p* responses, $\mathbf{Y} = (\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2, \dots, \mathbf{y}_p)$
- General Linear Test: $H_0 : C_{h \times q} B_{q \times p} = \mathbf{0}_{h \times p}$
- \bullet Analogs of sums of squares, SS_{H} and SS_{E} are $(p \times p)$ matrices, ${\sf H}$ and ${\sf E}$,

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\mathsf{H}} &= (\boldsymbol{\mathsf{C}}\widehat{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{B}}})^{\mathsf{T}} \, [\boldsymbol{\mathsf{C}}(\boldsymbol{\mathsf{X}}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\mathsf{X}})^{-}\boldsymbol{\mathsf{C}}^{\mathsf{T}}]^{-1} \, (\boldsymbol{\mathsf{C}}\widehat{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{B}}}) \ , \\ & \boldsymbol{\mathsf{E}} &= \boldsymbol{\mathsf{U}}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\mathsf{U}} = \boldsymbol{\mathsf{Y}}^{\mathsf{T}}[\boldsymbol{\mathsf{I}} - \boldsymbol{\mathsf{H}}]\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Y}} \ . \end{split}$$

• Analog of univariate F is

$$\det(\mathbf{H} - \lambda \mathbf{E}) = \mathbf{0}$$

- How big is **H** relative to **E** ?
 - Latent roots $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots \lambda_s$ measure the "size" of **H** relative to **E** in $s = \min(p, df_h)$ orthogonal directions.
 - Test statistics (Wilks' Λ, Pillai trace criterion, Hotelling-Lawley trace criterion, Roy's maximum root) all combine info across these dimensions

Motivating Example: Romano-British Pottery

Tubb, Parker & Nicholson analyzed the chemical composition of 26 samples of Romano-British pottery found at four kiln sites in Britain.

- Sites: Ashley Rails, Caldicot, Isle of Thorns, Llanedryn
- Variables: aluminum (AI), iron (Fe), magnesium (Mg), calcium (Ca) and sodium (Na)
- $\bullet \rightarrow$ One-way MANOVA design, 4 groups, 5 responses

```
R> library(heplots)
R> Pottery
```

```
        Site
        Al
        Fe
        Mg
        Ca
        Na

        1
        Llanedyrn
        14.4
        7.00
        4.30
        0.15
        0.51

        2
        Llanedyrn
        13.8
        7.08
        3.43
        0.12
        0.17

        3
        Llanedyrn
        14.6
        7.09
        3.88
        0.13
        0.20

        . . .
        2
        SashleyRails
        14.8
        2.74
        0.67
        0.03
        0.05

        26
        AshleyRails
        19.1
        1.64
        0.60
        0.10
        0.03
```

Motivating Example: Romano-British Pottery

Questions:

- Can the content of AI, Fe, Mg, Ca and Na differentiate the sites?
- **How to understand** the contributions of chemical elements to discrimination?

Numerical answers:

```
R> pottery.mod <- lm(cbind(Al, Fe, Mg, Ca, Na) ~ Site)
R> Manova(pottery.mod)
Type II MANOVA Tests: Pillai test statistic
    Df test stat approx F num Df den Df Pr(>F)
Site 3 1.55 4.30 15 60 2.4e-05 ***
---
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
What have we learned?
```

- Can: YES! We can discriminate sites.
- But: How to understand the pattern(s) of group differences: ???



(b)

• **H** ellipse: data ellipse for fitted values, $\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{ij} = \bar{\mathbf{y}}_j$.

(a)

Ideas behind multivariate tests: (a) Data ellipses; (b) H and E matrices

• **E** ellipse: data ellipse of residuals, $\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{ij} - \bar{\mathbf{y}}_{j}$.

20

• latent vectors show canonical directions of maximal difference.

• $\lambda_i, i = 1, \dots, df_h$ show size(s) of **H** relative to **E**

(c)

Ideas behind multivariate tests: latent roots & vectors of HE^{-1}

-2 0 First Canonical di

Background Hypothesis-Erro

ots Reduced-rank displays

Conclusio

HE plot for iris data



• **H** ellipse: data ellipse for fitted values,
$$\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{ij} = \bar{\mathbf{y}}_j$$

• **E** ellipse: data ellipse of residuals, $\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{ij} - \bar{\mathbf{y}}_j$.

HE plot details: Scaling ${\bf H}$ and ${\bf E}$

- The E ellipse is divided by $df_e = (n-p) \rightarrow$ data ellipse of residuals
 - Centered at grand means \rightarrow show factor means in same plot.
- "Effect size" scaling- $\mathbf{H}/df_e \rightarrow$ data ellipse of fitted values.
- "Significance" scaling- H ellipse protrudes beyond E ellipse *iff* H₀ can be rejected by Roy maximum root test
 - $H/(\lambda_{\alpha} df_e)$ where λ_{α} is critical value of Roy's statistic at level α .
 - direction of H wrt E → linear combinations that depart from H₀.

R> heplot(pottery.mod, size="effect")
size="evidence")



R> heplot(pottery.mod,

HE plot details: $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{H}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{E}}$ matrices

Recall the data on 5 chemical elements in samples of Romano-British pottery from 4 kiln sites:

R> summary(Manova(pottery.mod))

 $\begin{array}{c|cccccc} Sum \ of \ squares \ and \ products \ for \ error: \\ & Al & Fe & Mg & Ca & Na \\ Al & 48.29 & 7.080 & 0.608 & 0.106 & 0.589 \\ Fe & 7.08 & 10.951 & 0.527 & -0.155 & 0.067 \\ Mg & 0.61 & 0.527 & 15.430 & 0.435 & 0.028 \\ Ca & 0.11 & -0.155 & 0.435 & 0.051 & 0.010 \\ Na & 0.59 & 0.067 & 0.028 & 0.010 & 0.199 \\ \end{array}$

Term: Site

Sum of squares and products for hypothesis:					
Al	Fe	Mg	Ca	Na	
Al 175.6	-149.3	-130.8	-5.89	-5.37	
Fe -149.3	134.2	117.7	4.82	5.33	
Mg -130.8	117.7	103.4	4.21	4.71	
Ca -5.9	4.8	4.2	0.20	0.15	
Na -5.4	5.3	4.7	0.15	0.26	

- E matrix: Within-group (co)variation of residuals
 - diag: SSE for each variable
 - off-diag: \sim partial correlations
- H matrix: Between-group (co)variation of means
 - diag: SSH for each variable
 - off-diag: \sim correlations of means
- How big is **H** relative to **E**?
- Ellipsoids: dim(H) = rank(H)
 = min(p, df_h)

HE plot details: Contrasts and linear hypotheses

- An overall effect → an **H** ellipsoid of s = min(p, df_h) dimensions
- Linear hypotheses, of the form
 H₀: C_{h×q} B_{q×p} = 0_{h×p} → sub-ellipsoid of dimension h, e.g., 2 df test:

$$\mathbf{C} = egin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- 1D tests and contrasts → degenerate 1D ellipses (lines)
- Geometry:
 - Sub-hypotheses are tangent to enclosing hypotheses
 - Orthogonal contrasts form conjugate axes





HE plots for MMRA: Example

- Rohwer data on n = 37 low SES children, for 5 PA tasks (N, S, NS, NA, SS) predicting intelligence/achievement (PPVT, SAT, Raven)
- Only NA is individually significant (in this view)
- ... but overall test highly significant
- NA & S contribute to predicting PPVT
- NS & SS contribute to predicting SAT



HE plots for MMRA: MANCOVA

- Rohwer data on $n_1 = 37$ low SES, and $n_2 = 32$ high SES children
- Fit separate regressions for 110 each group • Are regressions parallel? 00 • Are they coincident? 6 Test Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test Vocabulary 80 80 / Picture / 2 2 Peabody 60 50 읓 -20 20 80 100 Student Achievement Test

HE plots for MMRA: MANCOVA

• Rohwer data on $n_1 = 37$ low SES, and $n_2 = 32$ high SES children



Student Achievement Test

Canonical discriminant HE plots

- As with biplot, we can visualize MLM hypothesis variation for *all* responses by projecting **H** and **E** into low-rank space.
- Canonical projection: $\mathbf{Y}_{n \times p} \mapsto \mathbf{Z}_{n \times s} = \mathbf{Y} \mathbf{E}^{-1/2} \mathbf{V}$, where $\mathbf{V} =$ eigenvectors of $\mathbf{H} \mathbf{E}^{-1}$.
- This is the view that maximally discriminates among groups, ie max. ${\bf H}$ wrt ${\bf E}$!



Low-D displays of high-D data

- High-D data often shown in 2D (or 3D) views— orthogonal projections in variable space— scatterplot
- Dimension-reduction techniques: project the data into subspace that has the largest *shadow* e.g., accounts for largest variance.
- $\bullet\,\rightarrow\,$ low-D approximation to high-D data



A: minimum-variance projection; B: maximum variance projection

Canonical discriminant HE plots

- Canonical HE plot is just the HE plot of canonical scores, $(\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2)$ in 2D,
- or, $\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \mathbf{z}_3$, in 3D.
- As in biplot, we add vectors to show relations of the **y**_i response variables to the canonical variates.
- variable vectors here are structure coefficients = correlations of variables with canonical scores.



tensions Cond

Canonical discriminant HE plots: Properties

- Canonical variates are uncorrelated: **E** ellipse is spherical
- $\bullet \ \mapsto$ axes must be equated to preserve geometry
- Variable vectors show how variables discriminate among groups
- $\bullet\,$ Lengths of variable vectors $\sim\,$ contribution to discrimination



Canonical discriminant HE plots: Pottery data

- $\bullet\,$ Canonical HE plots provide 2D (3D) visual summary of H vs. E variation
- Pottery data: p = 5 variables, 4 groups $\mapsto df_H = 3$
- Variable vectors: Fe, Mg and Al contribute to distingiushing (Caldicot, Llandryn) from (Isle Thorns, Ashley Rails): 96.4% of mean variation
- Na and Ca contribute an additional 3.5%. End of story!



Run heplot-movie.p

Visualizing Canonical Correlation Analysis

• Basic idea: another instance of low-rank approximation

CCA is to MMReg as CDA is to MANOVA

- \rightarrow For quantitative predictors, provides an alternative view of **Y** \sim **XB** in space of maximal (canonical) correlations.
- $\bullet\,$ The candisc package implements two new views for CCA:
 - plot() method to show canonical (X, Y) variates as data
 - \bullet heplot() method to show $({\bf X}, {\bf Y})$ relations as heplots for ${\bf Y}$ in CAN space.



CCA Example: Rohwer data, Ability and PA tests

- ${\mbox{\circ}}$ plot() method shows canonical variates for ${\mbox{X}}$ and ${\mbox{Y}}$ on one dimension
- Smoother shows possible non-linearity
- Point identification highlights unusual observations

R> library(candisc)

- R> cc <- cancor(cbind(SAT, PPVT, Raven) ~ n + s + ns + na + ss,
- + data=Rohwer, set.names=c("PA", "Ability"))
- R> plot(cc, smooth=TRUE, id.n=3)
- R> plot(cc, smooth=TRUE, id.n=3, which=2)





Background

Reduced-rank displays

Conclusions

- R has a large collection of packages dealing with robust estimation:
 - robust::lmrob(), MASS::rlm(), for univariate LMs
 - robust::glmrob() for univariate generalized LMs
 - High breakdown-bound methods for robust PCA and robust covariance estimation
 - However, none of these handle the fully general MLM
- The heplots package now provides robmlm() for robust MLMs:
 - Uses a simple M-estimtor via iteratively re-weighted LS.
 - Weights: calculated from Mahalanobis squared distances, using a simple robust covariance estimator, MASS::cov.trob() and a weight function, $\psi(D^2)$.

$$D^2 = (\mathbf{Y} - \widehat{\mathbf{Y}})^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{S}_{ ext{trob}}^{-1} (\mathbf{Y} - \widehat{\mathbf{Y}}) \sim \chi_{
ho}^2$$
 (1)

- This fully extends the "mlm" class
- Compatible with other mlm extensions: car:::Anova and heplots::heplot.
- Downside: Does not incorporate modern consistency factors or other niceties.

Robust MLMs: Example

For the Pottery data:



- $\bullet\,$ Some observations are given weights ~ 0
- The E ellipse is considerably reduced, enhancing apparent significance

Influence diagnostics for MLMs

- Influence measures and diagnostic plots are well-developed for univariate LMs
 - Influence measures: Cook's D, DFFITS, dfbetas, etc.
 - Diagnostic plots: Index plots, car:::influencePlot() for LMs
 - However, these are have been unavailable for MLMs
- The mvinfluence package now provides:
 - Calculation for multivariate analogs of univariate influence measures (following Barrett & Ling, 1992), e.g., Hat values & Cook's *D*:

$$H_{l} = \mathbf{X}_{l} (\mathbf{X}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{l}^{\mathsf{T}}$$
(2)

$$D_{l} = [vec(\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{B}_{(l)})]^{\mathsf{T}} [\mathbf{S}^{-1} \otimes (\mathbf{X}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{X})] [vec(\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{B}_{(l)})]$$
(3)

- Provides deletion diagnostics for subsets (1) of size $m \ge 1$.
- e.g., m = 2 can reveal cases of masking or joint influence.
- Extension of influencePlot() to the multivariate case.
- A new plot format: leverage-residual (LR) plots (McCulloch & Meeter, 1983)

Influence diagnostics for MLMs: Example

For the Rohwer data:



Recent extensions

Background

Reduced-rank displays

Influence diagnostics for MLMs: LR plots

- Main idea: Influence \sim Leverage (L) \times Residual (R)
- $\mapsto \log(Infl) = \log(L) + \log(R)$
- → contours of constant influence lie on lines with slope = -1.
- Bubble size \sim influence (Cook's *D*)
- This simplifies interpretation of influence measures



Conclusions: Graphical methods for MLMs

Summary & Opportunities

- Data ellipse: visual summary of bivariate relations
 - Useful for multiple-group, MANOVA data
 - Embed in scatterplot matrix: pairwise, bivariate relations
 - Easily extend to show partial relations, robust estimators, etc.
- HE plots: visual summary of multivariate tests for MANOVA and MMRA
 - Group means (MANOVA) or 1-df H vectors (MMRA) aid interpretation
 - Embed in HE plot matrix: all pairwise, bivariate relations
 - Extend to show partial relations: HE plot of "adjusted responses"

• Dimension-reduction techniques: low-rank (2D) visual summaries

- Biplot: Observations, group means, biplot data ellipses, variable vectors
- $\bullet\,$ Canonical HE plots: Similar, but for dimensions of maximal discrimination

• Beautiful and useful geometries:

- Ellipses everywhere; eigenvector-ellipse geometries!
- Visual representation of significance in MLM
- Opportunities for other extensions

